

## ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# The Correlations among Circulating Tumor Cells, Epstein-Barr Virus Status, and Epidemiology in Patients with Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma

Liangzhe Dong<sup>1, 2, †</sup>, Yonglin Luo<sup>1, 2, †</sup>, Yancheng Li<sup>2, 3</sup>, Weiling Qin<sup>1, 2</sup>, Yuwen Cheng<sup>4</sup>, Jiahao Liu<sup>4</sup>, Zhe Zhang<sup>5, 6</sup>, Zhendan Wang<sup>7</sup>, Hao Li<sup>8</sup>, Sheng Li<sup>8</sup>, Xiaoying Zhou<sup>6, 9</sup>, Yonglin Cai<sup>1, 2</sup>

<sup>†</sup>The authors contributed equally to this work

<sup>1</sup>Department of Clinical Laboratory, Wuzhou Red Cross Hospital, Wuzhou, China

<sup>2</sup>Guangxi Health Commission Key Laboratory of Molecular Epidemiology of Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma, Wuzhou, China

<sup>3</sup>Department of Prevention Medicine, Wuzhou Cancer Center, Wuzhou, China

<sup>4</sup>Department of Radiation Oncology, Wuzhou Red Cross Hospital, Wuzhou, China

<sup>5</sup>Department of Otolaryngology-Head & Neck Surgery, First Affiliated Hospital of Guangxi Medical University, Nanning, China

<sup>6</sup>Key Laboratory of High-Incidence-Tumor Prevention & Treatment (Guangxi Medical University), Ministry of Education, Nanning, China

<sup>7</sup>Department of Thoracic Surgery, Shandong First Medical University Affiliated Tumor Hospital, Jinan, China

<sup>8</sup>Shandong Pharmaceutical Research Institute, Shandong First Medical University, Jinan, China

<sup>9</sup>Life Science Institute, Guangxi Medical University, Nanning, China

## SUMMARY

**Background:** Studies have shown that circulating tumor cells (CTCs) can be detected in nasopharyngeal carcinoma (NPC). However, the relationship between CTCs and tumor stage is still controversial. This study aims to investigate the correlations among CTCs, Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) status, clinicopathologic features, and epidemiological risk factors in patients with NPC.

**Methods:** Three hundred and thirty primary NPC patients with complete clinical data and epidemiology information were collected. Analysis of CTCs was performed using the CTCBIOPSY system. The plasma EBV DNA load was detected by quantitative real-time PCR. Detection of VCA-IgA and EA-IgA antibodies titers was conducted by immunoenzymatic assay. EBNA1-IgA and Zta-IgA were measured using an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay.

**Results:** The presence of CTCs was associated with high EBV DNA load ( $p < 0.05$ ). The positive rate of CTCs was correlated with T and M classifications of NPC (T: 13.2% vs. 22.9%; M: 17.9% vs. 34.8%,  $p < 0.05$ ). Compared with never and former smokers, current smokers exhibited a higher positive rate of EBNA1-IgA (83.3% and 81.0% vs. 92.5%,  $p < 0.05$ ); the patients with pack-years of smoking  $\geq 15$  displayed a significantly higher positive rate of EBNA1-IgA than those with pack-years of smoking  $< 15$  (98.0% and 92.5% vs. 81.0%,  $p < 0.05$ ).

**Conclusions:** CTCs positivity was closely associated with tumor burden and distant metastasis of NPC. Smoking status and smoking cumulative dose of NPC patients might be correlated with EBV activation.

(Clin. Lab. 2022;68:xx-xx. DOI: 10.7754/Clin.Lab.2022.220122)

---

**Correspondence:**

Yonglin Cai  
3-1# Xinxing Yi Road  
Wuzhou Red Cross Hospital  
Guangxi Wuzhou 543002  
China  
Phone: +86 13507746501  
Email: cylzen@163.com

Xiaoying Zhou  
Key Laboratory of  
High-Incidence-Tumor Prevention & Treatment  
Guangxi Medical University  
Ministry of Education, Nanning  
China  
Email: zhouxiaoying1982@foxmail.com

---

Manuscript accepted January 24, 2022

**Supplementary Data****Supplemental Table S1.** Association of CTCs positivity, EBV status and epidemiological factors in NPC patients [M (quartile) or n (%)].

Characteristic and category	n	CTCs positivity	EBV load (IU/mL)	VCA-IgA (titer 1:)	EA-IgA (titer 1:)	EBNA1-IgA	Zta-IgA n (%)
Gender							
Male	246	49 (19.9)	597 (0 - 4,545) *	320 (160 - 640)	80 (40 - 160)	219 (89.0)	161 (65.4) #
Female	84	18 (21.4)	0 (0 - 1,453)	160 (160 - 640)	80 (20 - 160)	70 (83.3)	44 (52.4)
Age (years)							
≤ 50	187	39 (20.9)	500 (0 - 3,340)	320 (160 - 640)	80 (40 - 160)	166 (88.8)	128 (68.4) #
> 50	143	28 (19.6)	536 (0 - 4,760)	320 (160 - 640)	80 (20 - 160)	123 (86.0)	77 (53.8)
Educational level (years)							
≤ 6	139	29 (20.9)	538 (0 - 3,890)	320 (80 - 640)	80 (20 - 160)	121 (87.1)	83 (59.7)
7 - 9	102	18 (17.6)	500 (0 - 3,713)	320 (160 - 640)	80 (40 - 160)	91 (89.2)	69 (67.6)
10 - 12	59	16 (27.1)	548 (0 - 4,590)	160 (80 - 640)	40 (20 - 160)	49 (83.1)	33 (55.9)
> 12	30	4 (13.3)	584 (0 - 2,915)	320 (80 - 640)	120 (20 - 160)	28 (93.3)	20 (66.7)
Body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )							
< 18.5	37	7 (18.9)	593 (0 - 4,935)	640 (160 - 640)	160 (40 - 160)	36 (97.3)	26 (70.3)
18.5 - 22.9	168	37 (22.0)	500 (0 - 3,133)	320 (100 - 640)	80 (20 - 160)	141 (83.9)	102 (60.7)
≥ 23	125	23 (18.4)	500 (0 - 4,265)	320 (160 - 640)	80 (40 - 160)	112 (89.6)	77 (61.6)
First-degree family history of NPC							
No	306	60 (19.6)	500 (0 - 3,955)	320 (160 - 640)	80 (35 - 160)	267 (87.3)	191 (62.4)
Yes	24	7 (29.2)	500 (0 - 1,080)	320 (100 - 640)	40 (18 - 160)	22 (91.7)	14 (58.3)
Frequency of brushing teeth (times/day)							
≤ 1	215	45 (20.9)	500 (0 - 3,570)	320 (80 - 640)	80 (20 - 160)	190 (88.4)	136 (63.3)
≥ 2	113	21 (18.6)	500 (0 - 4,325)	320 (160 - 640)	80 (40 - 160)	98 (86.7)	68 (60.2)
irregular	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Smoking status							
Current	159	29 (18.2)	518 (0 - 3,950)	320 (160 - 640)	80 (40 - 160)	147 (92.5) #	104 (65.4)
Former	21	7 (33.3)	1,320 (500 - )	320 (60 - 640)	80 (10 - 160)	17 (81.0)	11 (52.4)
Never	150	31 (20.7)	500 (0 - 3,062)	160 (80 - 640)	80 (20 - 160)	125 (83.3)	90 (60.0)
No. of cigarettes smoked per day							
< 10	17	4 (23.5)	2,990 (250 - )	320 (160 - 640)	80 (40 - 160)	14 (82.4)	11 (64.7)
10 - 19	35	11 (31.4)	538 (0 - 4,010)	320 (160 - 640)	80 (40 - 160)	33 (94.3)	19 (54.3)
≥ 20	121	21 (17.4)	517 (0 - 3,675)	320 (160 - 640)	80 (40 - 160)	111 (91.7)	80 (66.1)
Missing	7	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pack-years of smoking							
< 15	42	14 (33.3)	623 (0 - 3,683)	320 (160 - 320)	80 (40 - 160)	34 (81.0) #	23 (54.8)
15 - 29	51	7 (13.7)	795 (0 - 6,660)	320 (160 - 640)	160 (40 - 160)	50 (98.0)	36 (70.6)
≥ 30	80	15 (18.8)	500 (0 - 2,243)	320 (80 - 640)	120 (20 - 160)	74 (92.5)	51 (63.8)
Missing	7	-	-	-	-	-	-
Alcohol drinking							
Yes	112	25 (22.3)	500 (0 - 4,483)	320 (160 - 640)	80 (40 - 160)	99 (88.4)	71 (63.4)
No	218	42 (19.3)	500 (0 - 3,590)	320 (160 - 640)	80 (20 - 160)	190 (87.2)	134 (61.5)
Alcohol consumption (g/day)							
< 10	75	15 (20.0)	500 (0 - 3,170)	320 (160 - 640)	80 (40 - 160)	69 (92.0)	49 (65.3)
10 - 39	22	7 (31.8)	849 (0 - 6,880)	320 (160 - 640)	80 (40 - 160)	20 (90.9)	13 (59.1)
≥ 40	15	3 (20.0)	500 (0 - 4,900)	320 (80 - 640)	160 (40 - 160)	10 (66.7) #	9 (60.0)

\* - p < 0.05 by Mann-Whitney U test, # - p < 0.05 by  $\chi^2$  test.