

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

The Value of Single-Molecule Nanopore DNA Sequencing in the Clinical Diagnosis of Suspected Tuberculosis Patients

Jie Cheng, Song Zheng, Ling Peng, Mei Li, Dianchao Wang, Yong Li, Rong Ma

Department of Tuberculosis, Anhui Provincial Chest Hospital, Hefei, Anhui Province, China

SUMMARY

Background: Early diagnosis of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (MTB) infection is of great significance for the clinical management of tuberculosis (TB). We first explored the efficacy of single-molecule nanopore DNA sequencing in the early diagnosis of suspected TB patients and analyzed the advantages in differentiating and diagnosing MTB and non-tuberculous *Mycobacteria* (NTM).

Methods: In this cohort study, we reviewed the clinical data of suspected TB patients admitted from December 1, 2021, through April 15, 2022. All patients underwent 3 - 6 times acid-fast bacilli smear examinations of sputum, all of which were negative. To make a definitive diagnosis, we extracted specimens from the patients and performed specimen culture, Xpert MTB/Rif assay, and single-molecule nanopore DNA sequencing. The efficacy of different diagnostic methods in diagnosing suspected TB patients was compared using "Diagnostic Criteria for Pulmonary Tuberculosis" (WS288-2017) as the gold standard.

Results: Among the 25 patients, 15 were infected with MTB, 5 were infected with NTM, 1 had mixed MTB and NTM infection, and 4 were negative. The accuracy of single-molecule nanopore DNA sequencing in diagnosing mycobacterial infection (MTB + NTM) was 92.0%, with a sensitivity of 90.5% and a specificity of 100%; the accuracy of diagnosing MTB infection was also 92.0%, with a sensitivity of 87.5% and a specificity of 100%. Single-molecule nanopore DNA sequencing showed an accuracy of 100% in differentiating MTB and NTM. However, the diagnostic accuracy and sensitivity of specimen culture and Xpert MTB/Rif assay were relatively low ($\leq 52\%$) compared to "specimen culture + Xpert MTB/Rif assay". The diagnostic efficacy of single-molecule nanopore DNA sequencing was not affected by the source of tissue samples, while specimen culture and Xpert MTB/Rif assay could not diagnose mycobacterial infection using extrapulmonary specimens.

Conclusions: As a third-generation sequencing technology, single-molecule nanopore DNA sequencing has significant application value in diagnosing suspected TB patients. Compared to traditional diagnostic methods, such as specimen culture and Xpert MTB/Rif assay, single-molecule nanopore DNA sequencing exhibits high diagnostic efficacy, low error rate, and convenient detection.

(Clin. Lab. 2024;70:xx-xx. DOI: 10.7754/Clin.Lab.2024.240532)

Correspondence:

Jie Cheng
The Second Tuberculosis Medical Department
Anhui Provincial Chest Hospital
No. 397, Jixi Road, Shushan District
Hefei City, 230022, Anhui Province
China
Phone/Fax: + 86 055163615280
Email: Jiecheng0911@yeah.net

Manuscript accepted July 31, 2024

Supplementary Data

Table S1. Clinical data and laboratory test results of 25 patients.

Number	Gender	Age (years)	Specimen	Single-molecule nanopore DNA sequencing			Culture	Xpert MTB/Rif assay	Clinical diagnosis
				Results	Reads	Drug-resistant Gene			
1	Male	55	Ascites	MTB	2	(-)	(-)	(-)	MTB
2	Male	30	BALF	MTB and NTM (<i>Mycobacterium abscessus</i> , <i>Mycobacterium chelonae</i>)	51, 28, 10	(-)	(-)	(-)	MTB, NTM
3	Male	23	BALF	MTB	289	Isoniazid	(-)	(+)	MTB
4	Male	70	BALF	(-)	/	(-)	(-)	(-)	MTB
5	Male	71	Sputum	MTB	2	(-)	(-)	(-)	MTB
6	Male	50	Hydrothorax	MTB	4	(-)	(-)	(-)	MTB
7	Male	50	Sputum	(-)	/	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)
8	Male	46	BALF	NTM (<i>Mycobacterium abscessus</i>)	8	(-)	(-)	(-)	NTM
9	Female	69	Urine	NTM (<i>Mycobacterium abscessus</i>)	19	(-)	(-)	(-)	NTM
10	Female	43	BALF	MTB	1,728	Streptomycin	(-)	(-)	MTB
11	Male	27	BALF	MTB	5,883	Rifampicin	(+)	(-)	MTB
12	Female	52	BALF	MTB	18,583	Isoniazid, Rifampicin, Ethambutol, Streptomycin, Fluoroquinolones, Pyrazinamide	(+)	(+)	MTB
13	Male	63	BALF	(-)	/	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)
14	Male	46	BALF	NTM (<i>Mycobacterium intracellulare</i>)	318	(-)	(+)	(-)	NTM
15	Male	24	BALF	MTB	8,443	(-)	(-)	(-)	MTB
16	Male	58	BALF	NTM (<i>Mycobacterium intracellulare</i>)	76	(-)	(+)	(-)	NTM
17	Male	27	BALF	MTB	352	Lipofen	(+)	(+)	MTB
18	Male	55	BALF	(-)	/	(-)	(-)	(-)	MTB
19	Female	51	BALF	NTM (<i>Mycobacterium abscessus</i>)	15	(-)	(-)	(-)	NTM
20	Male	27	BALF	MTB	3,554	Lipofen, Lincomycin	(+)	(+)	MTB
21	Male	64	BALF	(-)	/	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)
22	Female	52	BALF	(-)	/	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)
23	Male	37	BALF	MTB	2	(-)	(-)	(-)	MTB
24	Male	60	BALF	MTB	9	(-)	(-)	(-)	MTB
25	Female	48	Hydrothorax	MTB	4	(-)	(-)	(-)	MTB

BALF - bronchoalveolar lavage fluid, MTB - *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, NTM - non-tuberculous mycobacteria, (+) – positive, (-) - negative.

Table S2. Comparison of different detection methods for diagnosing mycobacterial infection (MTB + NTM).

Specimen sources	Detection content	Gold standard		Single-molecule nanopore DNA sequencing		Specimen culture		Xpert MTB/Rif assay		Specimen culture + Xpert MTB/Rif	
		Positive	Negative	True-positive	True-negative	True-positive	True-negative	True-positive	True-negative	True-positive	True-negative
Intra-pulmonary (n = 21)	Mycobacterium	17	4	15	4	6	4	4	4	7	4
	MTB	12	9	11	8	4	6	4	8	5	7
Extra-pulmonary (n = 4)	Mycobacterium	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	MTB	3	1	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0

MTB - *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*.